

There's A lot of Dust Out There in the Universe | Universe Today



- [Subscribe](#)
- [Podcast](#)

33172 readers
BY FEEDBURNER

- [Home](#)
- [Additional Resources](#)
- [Advertise](#)
- [Carnival of Space](#)
- [Contact Me](#)
- [Guide to Space](#)
- [Forum](#)

[Browser Game: Dark Orbit](#)

Conquer unknown galaxies in a space browser game:
Play now!
www.darkorbit.com

[Astronomy, Science & God](#)

"Was the Universe Created?" Get the Latest Facts from
Hubble
Astronomy.CosmicFingerprints.com

[Glow in the Dark Stars](#)

Glow Stars & Planets. A massive range of stars, planets
and shapes.
www.woolgarstoys.co.uk

Ads by Google

May 19th, 2008 [del.icio.us](#) [Digg](#) [Reddit](#) [StumbleUpon](#)

[There's A lot of Dust Out There in the Universe](#)

Written by [Nancy Atkinson](#)



The whole point of putting telescopes in orbit is to avoid distortions caused by our murky atmosphere. But now astronomers say they have calculated only half the light of our universe reaches telescopes – even those in orbit – because of the amount of dust that permeates the universe. In essence, they say, the universe is twice as bright as previously thought. The lead author of a new paper detailing this discovery, Dr. Simon Driver from the University of St Andrews said, “For nearly two decades we’ve argued about whether the light that we see from distant galaxies tells the whole story or not. It doesn’t; in fact only half the energy produced by stars actually reaches our telescopes directly, the rest is blocked by dust grains.”

While astronomers knew the universe contains small grains of dust, they hadn't realized the extent to which this is restricting the amount of light that we can see. The dust absorbs starlight and re-emits it, making it glow. They knew that existing models were flawed, because the energy output from glowing dust appeared to be greater than the total energy produced by the stars.

Dr. Driver said, “You can’t get more energy out than you put in so we knew something was very wrong. Even so, the scale of the dust problem has come as a shock - it appears that galaxies generate twice as much starlight as previously thought.”

The team used a new model of the dust distribution in galaxies from a catalogue of 10,000 galaxies to precisely calculate the fraction of starlight blocked by the dust. The team says dust blocks approximately half of the light that the Universe generates.

The Universe is currently generating energy, via nuclear fusion in the cores of stars, at a whopping rate of 5 quadrillion Watts per cubic light year – about 300 times the average energy consumption of the [Earth](#)’s

population.

After measuring the brightness of thousands of disc-shaped galaxies with different orientations, the astronomers matched their observations to computer models of dusty galaxies. From this they were able to calibrate the models and, for the first time, determine how much light is obscured when a galaxy has a face-on orientation. This then allowed them to determine the absolute fraction of light that escapes in each direction from a galaxy.

While modern instruments allow astronomers to see further into space, they can't eliminate the obscuring effect from these tiny dust grains. "It is somewhat poetic that in order to discover the full glory of our Universe we first had to appreciate the very small" said Dr. Alister Graham from the Swinburne University of Technology.

The team consists of astronomers from the United Kingdom, Germany and Australia. Their research was published in the May 10 issue of *Astrophysical Journal Letters*.

Original News Source: [Science and Technology Facilities Council](#)



Enjoyed this article? [Subscribe](#) to the feed.

Filed under: [Astronomy](#)

Related stories on Universe Today

- [Supernovae Generated the Universe's Early Dust](#)
- [Dust Devils Spotted on Mars](#)
- [Supernova Generates Enough Dust for 10,000 Earths](#)
- [Hundreds of Hidden Black Holes Discovered](#)
- [Are We Made of Quasarstuff Too?](#)

13 Responses to "There's A lot of Dust Out There in the Universe"

1. *Dark Gnat* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 7:33 am](#)

With so much dust out there, could there be any implications on dark matter theories?

2. [Nathan Zeldes](#) Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 7:45 am](#)

That's what happens when you build something without providing in advance for its future lifelong maintenance. There are many public projects with the same problem.

It must be Billions of years since anyone dusted this universe, small wonder it's full of dirt!

3. *greg your last name* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 8:01 am](#)

so, since we use light for almost all of the measurements of the universe, could the knowledge we have hypothesized and "proven" by studying light be entirely incorrect?

4. *Taylor Cahill* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 10:47 am](#)

300!!! as in "about 300 times the average energy consumption of the Earth's population." How many zeros are missing?

5. *Chuck* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 11:04 am](#)

RE: 300!!!

That was my first reaction too, then I realized that they're talking about energy per cubic light year. And, since stars are few and far between — MOST cubic lights are empty! This brings the average WAY, WAY, down.

6. *Taylor Cahill* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 5:37 pm](#)

Re: 300

Chuck makes a good point. I withdraw several of the exclamation points. Someone called it the Big Empty

7. *lrholliday* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 5:48 pm](#)

Are there any implications about distance measurements and so-called "dark energy"? Could it be that distant type 1a supernovae are dimmed by dust, rather than by expansion of the universe, so expansion is not really accelerating, and "dark energy" really doesn't exist?

8. *Greg* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 10:47 pm](#)

Whoops! Looks like this little mistake may have other ramifications. Time to crunch those numbers again.

9. *robbs* Says:

[May 19th, 2008 at 11:26 pm](#)

I too am wondering about the implications for dark matter/energy theories. This is a fairly huge

discovery, yes?

10. *jerry* Says:

[May 20th, 2008 at 2:25 pm](#)

Major, major implications for supernova research: Are the distant standard candles twice as bright as most researchers thought they are? Or has the supposed acceleration of the universe accelerated even more than anticipated? Either answer has profound implications for Einstein's universe...and WMAP interpretations.

11. *Dave Finton* Says:

[May 20th, 2008 at 8:40 pm](#)

I don't see how this would have implications for dark matter hypotheses (note my choice of words), I *do* see a major implication of damnation on what we regard as dark energy. The whole of the dark energy hypothesis is based on the brightness of distant supernovae that was observed by the Hubble Telescope, and this revelation could have serious consequences on the idea that the acceleration of our Universe is increasing.

I may be an amateur at astronomy, but I have a sneaking suspicion this could one of those "Eureka!" moments here.

12. *Chuck Lam* Says:

[May 21st, 2008 at 5:59 am](#)

Hmm . . . maybe only half the light reaching our telescopes because of cosmic dust! Interesting. If so, this doesn't bode well for the SETI efforts to detect modulated femto-watt radio signals.

13. *alphonso richardson* Says:

[May 23rd, 2008 at 4:00 am](#)

Someone break out the Dyson!!

Regarding Dark Matter (or should it be re-named 'Dust'), if Scientists have worked out the average mass distribution of dust in the Universe, couldn't that help solve the problem or at least provide a starting point?

I could also be completely off-target, in which case I apologise in advance.

Leave a Reply

Name (required)

Mail (will not be published) (required)

Website



Submit Comment

« [Fly Over the Columbia Hills at APOD](#) [Wow! Satellite Catches Bright Solar Flare – From a Another Star](#) »
1,775

BRAIN TRAINING GAMES
Web's Most Popular Program

lumosity.com

- **Categories**

- [Asteroids](#) (131)
- [Astrobiology](#) (48)

- [Astronomy](#) (982)
- [Astronomy For Kids](#) (9)
- [Astrophotos](#) (159)
- [Astrosphere](#) (100)
- [Black Holes](#) (80)
- [Blog](#) (36)
- [Book Reviews](#) (174)
- [Carnival of Space](#) (23)
- [Chandra](#) (34)
- [China](#) (6)
- [Comets](#) (23)
- [Constellation](#) (13)
- [Cosmology](#) (25)
- [Dark Energy](#) (6)
- [Dark Matter](#) (19)
- [Earth](#) (39)
- [Earth Observation](#) (195)
- [Eclipses](#) (8)
- [Environment](#) (37)
- [Europa](#) (5)
- [Evolution](#) (2)
- [Extrasolar Planets](#) (154)
- [Extreme Life](#) (7)
- [Fraser's News](#) (2)
- [Gamma Ray Bursts](#) (6)
- [Gravitational Lensing](#) (15)
- [Hubble](#) (156)
- [James Webb](#) (2)
- [Jupiter](#) (63)
- [Mars](#) (539)
- [Mercury](#) (18)
- [Meteor Showers](#) (7)
- [Meteorites](#) (18)
- [Military](#) (4)
- [Missions](#) (218)
- [Moon](#) (116)
- [NASA](#) (144)
- [Neptune](#) (12)
- [Observatories](#) (87)
- [Observing](#) (26)
- [Orion](#) (4)
- [Physics](#) (38)
- [Planet News](#) (5)
- [Planetary Formation](#) (5)
- [Pluto](#) (31)

- [Podcasts](#) (123)
- [Questions](#) (37)
- [Satellites](#) (162)
- [Saturn](#) (399)
- [Science](#) (7)
- [SETI](#) (10)
- [Skywatching](#) (1)
- [Solar Astronomy](#) (42)
- [Space Exploration](#) (118)
- [Space Flight](#) (64)
- [Space Shuttle](#) (214)
- [Space Station](#) (106)
- [Space Tourism](#) (21)
- [Spitzer](#) (11)
- [Sponsors](#) (1)
- [Telescope Reviews](#) (2)
- [Titan](#) (11)
- [Uncategorized](#) (2)
- [Universe Today News](#) (2)
- [Uranus](#) (4)
- [Venus](#) (22)
- [What's Up](#) (86)
- [Where In the Universe?](#) (7)



Web universetoday.com

Search

Email newsletter

- Daily Edition
- Weekly Edition

Subscribe me!





- **Most Popular Articles**

- [One-Way, One-Person Trip to Mars](#)
- [Maximizing Survival Time Inside the Event Horizon of a Black Hole](#)
- [The Mars Landing Approach: Getting Large Payloads to the Surface of the Red Planet](#)
- [Why is Pluto Not a Planet?](#)
- [The Value of Space Exploration](#)
- [How Long Does it Take to get to the Moon?](#)
- [Building a Base on the Moon: Part 1 - Challenges and Hazards](#)
- [What is the Biggest Star in the Universe?](#)
- [Binoculars For Astronomy](#)
- [Name a Star](#)
- [No Doomsday in 2012](#)

- **Blogroll**

- [Astronomy Cast](#)
- [Bad Astronomy](#)
- [Centauri Dreams](#)
- [Cosmic Log](#)
- [Kids Astronomy](#)
- [Nine Planets](#)
- [The Skeptics' Guide To The Universe](#)

- **Recent Questions**

- [How Many Moons Does Earth Have?](#)
- [How Far Down is the Center of the Earth?](#)
- [How Long Does it Take for Sunlight to Reach Earth?](#)
- [How Does a Star Die?](#)

- [How Does a Star Form?](#)
- [What are Saturn's Rings Made Of?](#)
- [Why is Mars Red?](#)
- [Could Humans Move the Earth?](#)
- [What is the North Star?](#)
- [What is a Binary Star?](#)

[Universe Today](#)

